

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/882,826	TSAO, CHE-CHIH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mike Fatahiyar	2674	

All Participants:

(1) Mike Fatahiyar.

(2) Mr. Che-Chih Tsao.

Date of Interview: 19 May 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

30 and 31

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Mike Fatahiyar

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Tsao was informed that the previous examiner's amendment, dated 1/26/05, to claim 30, lines 5 and 15 was wrong and instead the same amendments, at the same lines(i.e., 5 and 15) should have been done to claim 31. The noted change was agreed by Mr. Tsao and entered by a supplemental examiner's amendment..